Gas detectors for X-rays

- Overall good energy resolution (<8% @ 5.9keV)
- Low cost
- Easy to operate (room temperature)
- Large detection areas
- Good radiation resistance

Gas detectors are based on the conversion of incident radiation in electric charge which is then converted into an electrical pulse.

Signal amplitude is proportional to the number of electrons produced.

Our attention at GIAN (Nuclear and Atomic Instrumentation Group) has been mainly drawn to Gas Proportional Scintillation Counters and Gas Proportional Ionization Counters.
**Gas proportional scintillation counter**

Amplification stage: scintillation produced in the deexcitation of electron impact excited atoms of the medium.
Recent investigation in gas detectors

GPSC

Problem: window $\Phi > 5$mm - energy resolution degradation

Cause: Events off axis (with low solid angle)

Solution: exclude these events or compensate intensities.

1) Curved grid (@5.9 keV $\Phi = 25$mm  R from 10.5 to 8%)
2) Mask at photossensor window (@5.9keV $\Phi = 38$mm  R from 18 to 10%)
3) Digital signal processing (R improves 1% for $E_{xr} < 10$ keV)
Curved Grid Gas Proportional Scintillation Counter (compensate intensities)

8% (from 10.5%) @ 6 keV \( \Phi = 25\text{mm} \)
\( \Phi \) up to 40mm R~8%

J.M.F. dos Santos, A.C.S.S.M. Bento, C.A.N. Conde
Nucl. Intr. & Meth. A 337(1994)427
Photosensor deposited mask (compensate intensities)

10% (from 18%)
@ 6 keV
Φ=38mm

J.F.C.A. Veloso, J.M.F. dos Santos, C.A.N. Conde
Signals from a $^{109}$Cd source and corresponding spectrum with absorption events
a) in the scintillation region
b) in the scintillation/drift region with rise time discrimination
c) in the scintillation/drift region with long rise times (>4μs)

Digital signal processing (excluding events)

Al spectrum ($^{244}$Cm)

a) direct spectrum
b) rise time discriminated spectrum

Recent investigation in gas detectors

Xenon filled detectors

Monte Carlo simulation study

Problems detected and explained:

1) Energy non-linearity at absorption edges
2) Fano factor and w-value discontinuities at absorption edges
Break in energy linearity in Xe filled detectors: Monte Carlo simulated and experimental results

K shell and L shell and subshells

a), b) Monte Carlo

c) Experimental (with CPSC)

d) Experimental MSGC-based results
Break in energy linearity in Xe filled detectors: Monte Carlo simulated and experimental results

M shell and subshells

![Graphs showing X-ray energy vs. number of electrons for M subshells M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, M_5.](image-url)
Recent investigation in gas detectors

Xenon filled detectors

Known problem:
Pulse height distortion caused by electron loss to the entrance window

Cause: low photon absorption depth at specific photon energies

Solution:
Lighter absorption media - e.g. Xe-Ne mixtures to increase absorption depth

Monte Carlo simulation study of Xe-Ne mixtures
Photon mean free path in Xe, Ne and Xe-Ne mixtures at atmospheric pressure
GPSC experimental and Monte Carlo results with Xe-Ne mixtures

R = 35.3% in pure Xe
R = 44.5% in 10% Xe - 90% Ne

Behaviour of pure Xe and 10% Xe - 90% Ne mixture
GPSC experimental and Monte Carlo results with Xe-Ne mixtures
GPSC experimental results with Xe-Ne mixtures

Andalusite (Al$_2$SiO$_5$)

- Excited with $^{244}$Cm source
- Excited with $^{55}$Fe source

**Graph:**
- X-ray energy (Exr) in keV
- Number of x-ray events (10$^2$)
- Elemental peaks: C, O, Al, Si, K, Ca, Ti, Mn

**Ions:**
- O, C, Al, Si
X-ray absorption
flowchart in Xe-Ne mixtures

- X rays, $E_{\text{rx}}$
- $N_{\text{Ne}}, N_{\text{Xe}}$ in mixture
- electric field $E$

**Photoelectric absorption (Xe/Ne)**

- residual ion decay
- store fluorescence (flx.)
- store cascade electrons TI

**New e-**

**End of stored e-**

**End of stored flx**

$n = n + 1$

**Electron free path**

- $\varepsilon < P_1$

**Collision (Xe/Ne)**

- excitation
- elastic
- ionization

- e- scattering
- store PI, AI, HI e-

- atomic deexcitation

- e- scattering
- store secondary e-

- residual ion decay
- store flx photons.
- store cascade & TI e-

**End of**

**New flx.**
GPSC experimental and Monte Carlo study of Xe-Ne mixtures

Behaviour of pure Xe and 10% Xe-90% Ne mixtures
Left - w-value and Fano factor as a function of Xe concentration
Right - mean number of electrons at Xe and Ne absorption edges
GPSC experimental and Monte Carlo study of Xe-Ne mixtures

Experimental and Monte Carlo calculated energy resolution as a function of the X-ray energy
On-going investigation in GPSC

**Known problem:**
The PMT makes the GPSC bulky, somewhat fragile and more expensive

**Solution:**
Find an alternative to the PMT

**Fields under investigation:**
CsI covered microstructures in different gas atmospheres (*Monte Carlo simulation and experimental studies*)
Photo-photomultiplier (simulation and experimental work)
Gas proportional ionization counter

Amplification stage: charge multiplication close to the anode
Recent investigation in gas detectors

CGIP

Problem: improve energy resolution

Solution:
- Use of Penning mixtures to increase the number of ion pairs
- Precise definition of the multiplication volume
GPIC experimental results for Xe-Ne mixtures

R = 13.9% in pure Xe
R = 14.2% in 0.1%Xe-99.9%Ne
Precise definition of the multiplication volume: the gridded GPIC
Experimental results with gridded GPIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Microstrip</th>
<th>Best R (%)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{anode}}$ (V)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{grid}}$ (V)</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>MS2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>MS2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other on-going fields of research at GIAN

Effect of the polarization of absorbed X-rays on the final profile of the electron cloud

Study of Astrophysical polarized γ-rays with pixelated CZT detectors (with ESA & Italian group in a consortium)

Study of solar x-ray physics in satellite-born detectors (with China)

Exotic atoms (muonic hydrogen Lamb shift measurements) (with PSI CH)

Experimental measurements and Monte Carlo calculation of transport parameters of noble gas ions (Ar+, Ar++, ... in Ar)
Effect of the polarization of X-rays on the angular distribution of photoelectrons

Unpolarized photons

Polarized photons

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \beta P_2(\cos\theta) \right]
\]

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \beta P_2(\cos\theta) \right]
\]

Photon direction
Monte Carlo calculated effect of the polarization of X-rays on the final profile of the electron cloud.
Transmission of photoelectrons emitted from a CsI photocathode into pure and mixed noble gases \([\text{Xe, Ar, Ne}]\) and their mixtures with CF4 or CH4.

\(F_L(\varepsilon_{ph})\) is the photon emission from a continuous VUV Hg(Ar) lamp. The \(G_L(\varepsilon_0)\) describes the initial energies of the photoe- emitted from CsI when irradiated with \(F_L(\varepsilon_{ph})\) photons.
Monte Carlo transmission efficiency $f$ for the photoelectrons from CsI irradiated with photons from the distribution $F_L(E_{ph})$ of a Hg(Ar) lamp

a) as a function of $E/N$ in CH$_4$ and in Ar,
b) as a function of $\eta$ for $E/N = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30$ and $40$ Td ($E/N$ in Td $= 3.034\, E/p$ in V cm$^{-1}$ Torr$^{-1}$ at 293 K).
Radiation interaction and electron transport

Photon interaction
- Photoelectric effect
- Compton effect
- Rayleigh scattering

Electron interaction
- Radiative decay
- Elastic collision
- Excitation
- Ionization

Photoelectric effect (Excited ion)
Radiative decay
Auger/Coster-Kronig effect
Electron production – primary electron cloud formation

Through a sequence of the processes referred above the energy of the incident photon is converted into a number of electrons whose energies are below the ionization potential of the absorbing medium => the primary electron cloud

The number \( N \) of electron produced per incident X-ray photon varies.

Causes

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{external} & \quad (\text{associated electronics, drifts}) \\
\text{internal} & \quad (\text{statistical fluctuations due to the discrete nature of the signal})
\end{align*}
\]

Internal or intrinsic causes set a limit to the detector performance

This limit is know as intrinsic energy resolution.
Energy Resolution

\[ R_{GPSC} = 2.355 \sqrt{ \frac{F_w}{E_{rx}} + \frac{k}{A} } \]

Best achieved value for 5.9 keV - \(~8\%\)

\[ R_{GPIC} = 2.355 \sqrt{ \frac{(F+f)w}{E_{rx}} } \]

Best achieved value for 5.9 keV - \(13\%\)
Effect of the polarization of X-rays on the angular distribution of photoelectrons

Angular differential photoionization cross-section for the emission of s-photoelectrons ($\beta=2$)

a) by unpolarized photons

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \beta P_2(\cos \theta) \right]$$

b) by linearly polarized photons

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} \left[ 1 + \beta P_2(\cos \theta) \right]$$
GPIC experimental and Monte Carlo results for Xe-Ne mixtures

\[ K = \frac{V}{\ln(c/a)} \]
Digital signal processing (excluding events)

\(^{109}\text{Cd}\) source 22.1keV events
a) absorbed in the drift region
b) whose “components” arrive at the scintillation region in separate times
c) absorbed near the detector walls.